Document 4

Filed 02/28/2008

Page 1 of 2

Case 3:07-cv-02147-DMS-NLS

- 1 - 07CV2147

Plaintiff also requests appointment of counsel, which the Court has discretion to grant "upon application by the complainant and in such circumstances as the court may deem just." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1). "Three factors have emerged as relevant to the exercise of the district court's discretion under this broad statutory mandate. The court is required to assess: (1) the plaintiff's financial resources, (2) the efforts made by plaintiff to secure counsel, and (3) whether the plaintiff's claim has merit." Bradshaw v. Zoological Soc. of San Diego, 662 F.2d 1301, 1318 (9th Cir. 1981). Here, Plaintiff has not attached a copy of a Notice-of-Right-to-Sue-Letter as required by the application. Moreover, he indicates that he received a response from the Equal Opportunity Commission finding "no reasonable cause" to believe the allegations made in the charge were true. Accordingly, he has failed to make the requisite showing that his claim is meritorious. Moreover, although Plaintiff has made some attempt to secure counsel, he has contacted only one local legal clinic and one private attorney. Both simply indicated they do not handle his kind of

case. Petitioner has not indicated any inability to obtain representation from an attorney who does specialize in claims such as his. For these reasons, the motion to appoint counsel is DENIED.

HON. DANA M. SABRAW

United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

DATED: February 28, 2008

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2 -07CV2147